IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

VIRGINIA MORGAN COOK, et. al.,

Plaintiffs,

| vs. | Civil | Action | No. | 1:07CV149 |
|-----|----------------|--------|-----|-----------|
| | Civil | Action | No. | 1:07CV150 |
| | Civil | Action | No. | 1:07CV151 |
| | Civil | Action | No. | 1:07CV152 |
| | Civil | Action | No. | 1:07CV153 |
| | Civil | Action | No. | 1:07CV154 |
| | Civil | Action | No. | 1:07CV155 |
| | Civil | Action | No. | 1:07CV156 |
| | Civil | Action | No. | 1:07CV157 |
| | Civil | Action | No. | 1:07CV158 |
| | (Judge Keeley) | | | |

KINGWOOD MINING COMPANY, LLC, PERRY RYAN, and MAX BURGOYNE, SR.,

Defendants.

ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES

On January 3, 2008, the plaintiffs in the above cases, by counsel, filed unopposed motions to consolidate the above cases. For the reasons stated below, the Court **GRANTS** the motions.

On November 6, 2007, the defendants, Kingwood Mining Company, LLC, Perry Ryan, and Max Burgoyne, Sr. (the "Defendants") removed ten cases from the Circuit Court of Preston County, West Virginia. The plaintiffs in each of those cases are different individuals who are all citizens of West Virginia. All of the plaintiffs are represented by the same counsel. The Defendants also share common counsel.

COOK, ET AL. V. KINGWOOD MINING COMPANY, LLC, ET AL.

1:07cv149-158

ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES

actions which involve a common question of law or fact in order to

Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a) permits a district court to consolidate

avoid unnecessary costs or delay. All ten cases here derive from

the factual circumstances surrounding the alleged contamination of

ground water by the defendants. The plaintiffs are all individual

landowners in the area who allege damages from this contamination.

Furthermore, the plaintiffs in each case assert identical legal

theories and claims against the Defendants.

It appearing proper to the Court to consolidate these cases in order to avoid unnecessary costs and delay and without opposition from the defendants, the Court **GRANTS** the motions (dkt. no. 9 in

each case), ${f CONSOLIDATES}$ these cases and ${f ORDERS}$ the Clerk to

designate case number 1:07CV149 as the lead case for future

docketing.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to counsel of record in all of the above cases.

DATED: January 8, 2008.

/s/ Irene M. Keeley
IRENE M. KEELEY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-2-